Schnabel Engineering
12301 Research Blvd Bldg. IV, Suite 250
Austin, TX 78759

Addendum No. 2 to RFB 26-0701
Lake Fork Dam Low Level Outlet Repair

Bidders on the Lake Fork Dam Low Level Outlet Repair project are hereby notified that the Bidding Documents are modified as indicated below.

Additional Documents

1. Bid Opening Sheet
2. Bid Question Responses

BID OPENING

In the space provided below, enter your total Base Bid amount for this project. Only this figure will be read publicly at the public bid opening.

It is understood and agreed by the bidder in signing the proposal that the total bid amount entered below is not binding on either the bidder or the Owner. It is further agreed that the official total bid amount for this proposal will be determined by multiplying the unit prices for each unit price pay item by the respective estimated quantities shown in this proposal, and then totaling all of the extended amounts plus the amounts bid for all lump sum items.

Project: RFB 26-0701

Lake Fork Dam Low Level Outlet Repair

Owner: Sabine River Authority of Texas

Orange County, Texas

$ _____

Total Base Bid Amount

_____

Name of Bidder

Schnabel Engineering
12301 Research Blvd Bldg. IV, Suite 250
Austin, TX 78759

Responses to Contractor Questions for RFB 26-0701
Lake Fork Dam Low Level Outlet Repair

Question Number	Question	Response
1	Is there an Engineer's Estimate for this project?	$1M to $1.2M. (Included in Addendum 1)
2	What is the number of days for Substantial and Final Completion?	190 days to substantial completion. 220 (additional 30) days to final completion. Included in Addendum 1.
3	What is the anticipated contract award date?	Anticipated in early July. Included in Addendum 1.
4	What is the anticipated notice to proceed date?	Anticipated in late July. Included in Addendum 1.
5	The Standard Form of Agreement (Contract) does not appear to be included in the documents. Can you please provide this information?	Included in Addendum 1.
6	Please provide the as built and inspection report documents referenced in Supplementary Conditions SC-5.03.F	Inspection reports have been provided as part of Addendum 1. As-Built drawings are available for viewing at the Lake Fork Division Office as stated in SC-5.03-G.
7	Are the two existing sluice gates regularly operated and / or exercised? If so, at what intervals.	Both gates are operated routinely, at least monthly.
8	Should the contractor anticipate encountering any hazardous materials (lead, asbestos, etc.) when removing or rehabilitating the existing metal works, piping and gates?	There is no data to confirm presence or absence of hazardous materials. Additional Bid Item 6.1 has been included in the Addendum 1 replacement C-410 bid specification for the contractor to include.
9	Please reference 01 20 00 paragraph 1.7.A - Lump Sum Bid Alternates. Please clarify the intent of this paragraph. For example: * Is there the possibility that only the Base Bid will be awarded and neither Alternate A nor Alternate B may be chosen? * If Alternate A is awarded, will all items in this alternate be awarded? * If Alternate B is awarded, will all items in this alternate be awarded? * What are the criteria used to determine which, if any, alternates are awarded? * Please identify the duration of the "stipulated period of time".	Either Alternate A or Alternate B may be awarded. Contractor to provide pricing for all Alternates. If gate is found unsuitable as detailed in the process on Drawing M-2.A, contractor must dispose of gate and proceed with Alternative B. In this case, the Contractor would request a change order to change from Alternative A to Alternative B, and would be compensated for Bid Item 7 and then proceed with Alternative B.
10	If it is determined that hazardous material is present in the existing coatings to be removed at a later date, how will abatement of hazardous material be handled/compensated?	Contractor is responsible for identification, removal, handling, and disposal and will be compensated from Bid Item 6.1. See response to question No. 8. Presence of hazardous materials must be confirmed in order to be compensated.
11	What anticipated seepage rates should the contractor expect one the SBA provided bulkheads are installed? Does SBA anticipate dry conditions for applying coatings the existing thimbles?	Leakage rates are not readily available. Images in the Lake Fork Dam LLO Gate Seal Inspection Memo indicate leakage encountered with the bulkheads installed in January 2026 in their current condition. Contractor will be responsible for dewatering. See technical specification 09 90 00 for coating product and application requirements.

Sabine River Authority of Texas
Lake Fork Dam Low Level Outlet Repair

Question Number	Question	Response
12	Does the entire interior surface area of the 36" LLO pipe get cleaned and painted for the extent of coating repair identified in the plans or is it just spot locations where defects are present? Can SBA provide approximate square footage of surface area to be cleaned and painted?	The entire interior of the two (2) LLO pipes over the coating repair extents indicated in the plans are to be recoated. Surface area can be calculated from provided information.
13	Can the existing conduits from the actuators to the control box be used when hooking up the new actuators or will new conduits be required under the electrical scope?	See note 3 on drawings D-1.A and D-1.B.
14	Does SBA plan to select Alternate A or Alternate B at award or after the inspection? If after the inspection it is determined that new gates/stems/guides/hardware are needed (Alternate B), how will the contractor be compensated for work already performed under Alternate A (inspection, testing, handling, etc.)?	SRA may award either Alternate depending on what provides the best value. See response to question No. 9 regarding the sequencing described.
15	Will SRA consider schedule extensions for manufacturer lead times for actuators, gates, or fabricated items?	The schedule in bid specification C-520 Article 4 includes lead times for products/equipment procurement. Extensions may be considered if contract changes from Alternate A to Alternate B.
16	Can SRA please provide details on the existing slide gates (manufacturer, weights, etc.)?	Included in Addendum 1.
17	Under Alternative A, what inspection criteria will determine whether the existing stems, guides, couplings, gates, and anchorages may remain in service?	Plans and technical specifications include requirements for this.
18	When installing the new actuators and electrical connections, is integration into any existing SCADA, telemetry, or remote monitoring system required?	No.
19	Base bid Item 3 references repairs of defects in piping. Can SRA please confirm that this is limited to the damage repair detailed on plan sheet M-1 at one location and nothing additional?	This is correct.
20	Base bid Item 6 states this item is for other incidental components required for a complete and operations system. This appears to be a "catch all" item without any specific means for measurement. Can SRA provide more details as to what might be anticipated for this item of work or confirm that this will be paid even if there is not a measurable quantity?	This is intended for Contractor to use for any items that they deem not to fit into the other bid items. Specific pay items related to the Work for this bid item will need to be detailed in the schedule of values required in Section 01 20 00 Price and Payment Procedures.
21	Will SRA please make the prebid meeting sign in sheet and slideshow presentation available to the Contractors?	Included in Addendum 1.
22	40 05 59.23 Stainless Steel Slide Gates - May Rodney Hunt be added as an approved equal?	If demonstrated that Rodney Hunt meets the requirements of the plans and specifications, they may be approved. Also see Instructions to Bidders for Construction Contract Article 10 – Substitute and “Or Equal” Items.
23	Does this project have any domestic requirements such as BABA?	No.

Sabine River Authority of Texas
Lake Fork Dam Low Level Outlet Repair

Question Number	Question	Response
24	Should the condition of the existing gates warrant replacement, would SRA consider the option to replace with new in kind gates to help give the best opportunity for proper fit and alignment with the existing thimbles that remain in place?	See response to question No. 22.
25	Sheet M-2.A indicates to replace stem sections with significant damage and notify the Engineer. Bidders have no way to determine how many stems will require replacement. Please confirm that if stems need to be replaced those will be paid for as a change. If not, please provide the number of stem replacements Bidders should assume in their bids.	Contractor should include pricing to replace one stem section per gate since the gate stems are different sizes for each gate. If additional sections are required to be replaced, the quantity may be increased based on the condition of the stem sections.
26	Note 3 on the demolition plan sheet states to remove and dispose of existing stem guides and couplings. Alternate A has items to inspect stem guides and other incidental components. Alternate A also has another item to rehabilitate or replace stems, guides, couplings, and anchors. Note 3 on sheet D-1.A makes it seem like the existing guides and couplings get replaced no matter what, but Alternate A verbiage suggests the existing might be reused. Can SRA please clarify its intent for the existing guides and couplings?	For Alternate A, the guides, couplings, and guide anchors will be replaced no matter the condition. The gate stems would be inspected as indicated in bid item no. 7 and potentially replaced with bid item no. 10.
27	In reaching out to several AUMA actuator suppliers, all have requested more information on the existing actuators to accurately quote replacement units. At a minimum, the following information has been requested: Torque requirement Thrust requirement Stem data, diameter, threads per inch, hand, number of leads Operating method (open/close or modulating) Can SRA please provide this information so we can give to the supplies and make sure we are getting an accurate quote?	Existing actuator nameplate data was provided with Addendum 1.
28	What are you referring to as the Bid Opening Sheet that is to be uploaded to bid? Nothing is titled this in the bid documents	Included in Addendum 2.